(EIG ALGORITHM IMPLEMENTATION)

Saad Bin Nasir ECE-6133 Final Project

THE ALGORITHM

- Input = netlist
- Adjacency (A) and degree (D) matrices are computed
- Get laplacian (L) = A-D matrix
- Eigenvector corresponding to 2nd smallest eigenvalue of L gives a 1-dimensional placement of nodes
- ratio cut heuristic is computed within area skew constraint
- Output = partition corresponding to minimum ratio cut

IMPLEMENTATION

- Matrices => Matlab should be the first choice
- Use power of matlab
 - Vectorization minimize # of loops required
 - Pre-allocation saves huge amounts of runtime
- Making "A" and "D" matrices from netlist takes time
- Simplest model (V=149 nodes) took no time!
- 10000 node netlist never finished!





It turns out Matrices are big!



*From Cody Planteen's slide

A closer look reveals!

- Special structures in Matrices (Toeplitz, Hermition, Symmetric, etc)
- Dimensional Analysis!
 - Is it low rank? Seemingly, yes
 - Positive definite? No but Eigenvalues ≥ 0 so it is semi positive definite

Sparse and Symmetric



Implementation

- Sparse Algebra
 - store only non-zero enteries, rest are assumed 0 by default
 - Three vector required for one matrix
 - row vector, column vector and the value vector
- Trade offs
 - saves memory
 - algorithm translation required
 - could be slow
 - matrix operations require more time
 - specialized eigenvalue calculation engine required

Storage Requirement Comparison



Implementation Trade-off

- Use linear algebra for speed
- Use sparse algebra for space
- mixed approach gives the required balance
 - Trade-off memory for precision
 - laplacian matrix requires large memory
 - Perform sparse computations till eigenvector calculation
 - Precision needed for eigenvalue/eigenvector calculation (Matlab uses ARPACK)
 - Perform ratio cut heuristics on full laplacian matrix (stored in lower precision, 32-bit floating point)

1-Dimensional placement



ratio cut/cut size plot



Results!

| Design | Total Cells | Left Partition | Right Partition | Area Skew(%) | Run Time(s) | Cut size | Ratio Cut |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--------------|
| fract | 149 | 75 | 74 | 0.67 | 0.42 | 23.75 | 4.3e-3 |
| p1 | 902 | 455 | 447 | 0.88 | 0.569 | 84.7857 | 4.16e-4 |
| structP | 1952 | 985 | 967 | 0.92 | 1.19 | 174.25 | 1.83e-4 |
| p2 | 3029 | 1529 | 1500 | 0.95 | 24.34 | 313.29 | 1.36e-4 |
| biomedP | 6514 | 3289 | 3225 | 0.98 | 49.46 | 1.85e+3 | 1.74e-4 |
| industry2 | 13419 | 6709 | 6710 | 0.0074 | 61.85 | 720.5 | 1.6e-5 |
| industry3 | 15406 | 7780 | 7626 | 0.99 | 78.64 | 1.86e+3 | 3.14e-4 |
| ibm01 | 14111 | 7125 | 6986 | 0.98 | 43.85 | 380.6 | 7.64e-6 |

Comparison

| Design | Runtime(s) (this work) | Runtime(s) (Cody's Work) |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| fract | 0.42 | 0 |
| p1 | 0.569 | 0 |
| structP | 1.19 | 8 |
| p2 | 24.34 | 28 |
| biomedP | 49.46 | 279 |
| Industry2 | 61.85 | 2094 |
| industry3 | 78.64 | - |
| ibm01 | 43.85 | 2153 |

For smaller designs - linear matrix computations are fast For larger designs – using power of sparsity pays dividends

Conclusion and Extensions

- Significant gains in runtime and storage requirements achieved using a combination of linear and sparse algebra
- Optimized package can be written in a language like C
- Gives motivation and insights in to exploring the usage of sparse algebra based mathematics into solving the problems of physical design of VLSI
- Exciting mathematics being developed for low rank matrices
 - Randomization, compressed sensing, etc

Questions?

Thank you