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## THE ALGORITHM

- Input $=$ netlist
- Adjacency (A) and degree (D) matrices are computed
- Get laplacian (L) = A-D matrix
- Eigenvector corresponding to $2^{\text {nd }}$ smallest eigenvalue of L gives a 1-dimensional placement of nodes
- ratio cut heuristic is computed within area skew constraint
- Output $=$ partition corresponding to minimum ratio cut


## IMPLEMENTATION

- Matrices $=>$ Matlab should be the first choice
- Use power of matlab
- Vectorization - minimize \# of loops required
- Pre-allocation - saves huge amounts of runtime
- Making "A" and "D" matrices from netlist takes time
- Simplest model (V=149 nodes) took no time!
- 10000 node netlist never finished!

Implementation error!!!

## It turns out Matrices are big!



## A closer look reveals!

- Special structures in Matrices (Toeplitz, Hermition, Symmetric, etc)
- Dimensional Analysis!
- Is it low rank? Seemingly, yes
- Positive definite? No but Eigenvalues $\geq 0$ so it is semi positive definite


## Sparse and Symmetric



## Implementation

- Sparse Algebra
- store only non-zero enteries, rest are assumed 0 by default
- Three vector required for one matrix
- row vector, column vector and the value vector
- Trade offs
- saves memory
- algorithm translation required
- could be slow
- matrix operations require more time
- specialized eigenvalue calculation engine required


## Storage Requirement Comparison


$\square$ linear
$\square$ sparse

Storage gain $=\mathrm{f}($ sparsity $)=\mathrm{f}(\#$ of non-zero enteries $)$

## Implementation Trade-off

- Use linear algebra for speed
- Use sparse algebra for space
- mixed approach gives the required balance
- Trade-off memory for precision
- laplacian matrix requires large memory
- Perform sparse computations till eigenvector calculation
- Precision needed for eigenvalue/eigenvector calculation (Matlab uses ARPACK)
- Perform ratio cut heuristics on full laplacian matrix (stored in lower precision, 32-bit floating point)


## 1-Dimensional placement



Validity: $\sum(\text { placement value })^{2}=1$

## ratio cut/cut size plot



## Results!

| Design | Total <br> Cells | Left <br> Partition | Right <br> Partition | Area <br> Skew(\%) | Run <br> Time(s) | Cut size | Ratio <br> Cut |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fract | 149 | 75 | 74 | 0.67 | 0.42 | 23.75 | $4.3 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| p1 | 902 | 455 | 447 | 0.88 | 0.569 | 84.7857 | $4.16 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| structP | 1952 | 985 | 967 | 0.92 | 1.19 | 174.25 | $1.83 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| p2 | 3029 | 1529 | 1500 | 0.95 | 24.34 | 313.29 | $1.36 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| biomedP | 6514 | 3289 | 3225 | 0.98 | 49.46 | $1.85 \mathrm{e}+3$ | $1.74 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| industry2 | 13419 | 6709 | 6710 | 0.0074 | 61.85 | 720.5 | $1.6 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| industry3 | 15406 | 7780 | 7626 | 0.99 | 78.64 | $1.86 \mathrm{e}+3$ | $3.14 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| ibm01 | 14111 | 7125 | 6986 | 0.98 | 43.85 | 380.6 | $7.64 \mathrm{e}-6$ |

## Comparison

| Design | Runtime(s) (this work) | Runtime(s) (Cody's Work) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fract | 0.42 | 0 |
| p1 | 0.569 | 0 |
| structP | 1.19 | 8 |
| p2 | 24.34 | 28 |
| biomedP | 49.46 | 279 |
| Industry2 | 61.85 | 2094 |
| industry3 | 78.64 | - |
| ibm01 | 43.85 | 2153 |

For smaller designs - linear matrix computations are fast For larger designs - using power of sparsity pays dividends

## Conclusion and Extensions

- Significant gains in runtime and storage requirements achieved using a combination of linear and sparse algebra
- Optimized package can be written in a language like C
- Gives motivation and insights in to exploring the usage of sparse algebra based mathematics into solving the problems of physical design of VLSI
- Exciting mathematics being developed for low rank matrices
- Randomization, compressed sensing, etc


## Questions?

## Thank you

